arXiv:1509.06071v3 [hep-ph] 3 Mar 2016

International Journal of Modern Physics A
© World Scientific Publishing Company

Maximal CP Violation in Flavor Neutrino Masses

Teruyuki Kitabayashi and Masaki Yasuè
Department of Physics, Tokai University,
4-1-1 Kitakaname, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-1292, Japan
teruyuki@tokai-u.jp, yasue@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp

Since flavor neutrino masses $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ can be expressed in terms of $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$, mutual dependence among $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ is derived by imposing some constraints on $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$. For appropriately imposed constraints on $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$ giving rise to both maximal CP violation and the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing, we show various specific textures of neutrino mass matrices including the texture with $M_{\tau\tau} = M^*_{\mu\mu}$ derived as the simplest solution to the constraint of $M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu}$=imaginary, which is required by the constraint of $M_{e\mu}\cos\theta_{23} - M_{e\tau}\sin\theta_{23}$=real for $\cos 2\theta_{23} = 0$. It is found that Majorana CP violation depends on the phase of M_{ee}.

Keywords: CP violation; atmospheric neutrino mixing; flavor neutrino masses.

PACS numbers:14.60.Pq

1. Introduction

The observed data of the neutrino oscillations[1–25] have been accumulated to suggest the allowed range of the CP-violating Dirac phase δ_{CP} near $3\pi/2$ inducing the maximal CP violation and that of the atmospheric neutrino mixing angle θ_{23} near 45° indicating the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing.[26,27] These maximal effects arouse theoretical interest that both the Dirac CP-violation and the atmospheric neutrino mixing are necessarily maximal because of a certain constraints imposed on flavor neutrino masses.

It has been discussed that these two maximal effects are correlated.[28–46] Denoting the CP-violating Dirac phase by δ_{CP}, the reactor neutrino mixing angle by θ_{13} and flavor neutrino masses by M_{ij} for i, j=$e.\mu, \tau$, we can derive the following relation:[35–37]

$$\frac{M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu}}{2}\sin 2\theta_{23} - M_{\mu\tau}\cos 2\theta_{23} = \tan\theta_{13}\left(M_{e\mu}\cos\theta_{23} - M_{e\tau}\sin\theta_{23}\right)e^{-i\delta_{CP}}, \tag{1}$$

provided that the Pentecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata mixing matrix U_{PNMS}[47,48] takes the standard parameterization defined by the Particle Data Group (PDG)[49,50] to be $U_{PDG} = U_\nu^{PDG} K^{PDG}$:

$$U_\nu^{PDG} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K^{PDG} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi_2/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_3/2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

for $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$ $(i, j$=1,2,3), where θ_{12} is the solar neutrino mixing angle and $\phi_{1,2,3}$ stand for the Majorana phases, from which two independent combinations become the CP-violating Majorana phases.[51–53] It is obvious that, from Eq.(1), the maximal atmospheric mixing giving $\cos 2\theta_{23} = 0$ induces the maximal CP violation giving $\cos\delta_{CP} = 0$ if

$$M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu} = \text{imaginary}, \quad M_{e\mu}\cos\theta_{23} - M_{e\tau}\sin\theta_{23} \left(= \frac{M_{e\mu} - \sigma M_{e\tau}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \text{real}, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ takes care of the sign of $\sin\theta_{23}$. The reactor neutrino mixing angle must satisfy $\sin\theta_{13} \neq 0$. It is obvious that the simplest solution to satisfy in Eq.(3) is that

$$M_{\tau\tau} = M_{\mu\mu}^*, \quad M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M_{e\mu}^*, \tag{4}$$

which reproduce the following known flavor neutrino mass matrix M_ν consisting of M_{ij}:

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & M_{e\mu} & -\sigma M_{e\mu}^* \\ M_{e\mu} & M_{\mu\mu} & M_{\mu\tau} \\ -\sigma M_{e\mu}^* & M_{\mu\tau} & M_{\mu\mu}^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

In this article, we would like to discuss the variation of Eq.(5) that gives the maximal CP violation and the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing in the systematic way. In Sec.2, we derive $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ expressed in terms of $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$, which are used in Sec.3 to find how $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ are correlated to each other for $\cos 2\theta_{23} = 0$ and $\cos\delta_{CP} = 0$. To see the usefulness of our method, we show three new textures other than Eq.(5). In the Appendix A, redundant phases allowed in U_{PMNS} are used to transform M_ν of Eq.(5) into a more general form of M_ν so that the associated U_{PMNS}[43–46] is described by three real numbers $u_{1,2,3}$ and three complex numbers $w_{1,2,3}$.[28–34] In Sec.4, we discuss how these results are affected if M_ν is not compatible with the PDG convention. The final section Sec.5 is devoted to summary and discussions.

2. Neutrino Mixings and Flavor Neutrino Masses

To discuss the situation of the maximal CP violation, we utilize two more relations satisfied by M_{ij} in addition to Eq.(1).[35–37] The relations determine the remaining two mixing angles, θ_{12} and θ_{13}. After little calculus, we obtain that

$$(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\sin 2\theta_{12}+2\left(c_{23}M_{e\mu}-s_{23}M_{e\tau}\right)\frac{\cos 2\theta_{12}}{c_{13}}=0, \tag{6}$$

$$\left(M_{ee}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}-\lambda_3 e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)\sin 2\theta_{13}+2\left(s_{23}M_{e\mu}+c_{23}M_{e\tau}\right)\cos 2\theta_{13}=0, \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= \frac{c_{13}^2 M_{ee}-s_{13}^2 e^{2i\delta_{CP}}\lambda_3}{\cos 2\theta_{13}},\\
\lambda_2 &= c_{23}^2 M_{\mu\mu}+s_{23}^2 M_{\tau\tau}-M_{\mu\tau}\sin 2\theta_{23},\\
\lambda_3 &= s_{23}^2 M_{\mu\mu}+c_{23}^2 M_{\tau\tau}+M_{\mu\tau}\sin 2\theta_{23}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From these three relations, defining that

$$M_+ = s_{23}M_{e\mu}+c_{23}M_{e\tau}, \quad M_- = c_{23}M_{e\mu}-s_{23}M_{e\tau}, \tag{9}$$

we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mu\mu} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}-\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\sin 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}-\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+\\
&\quad +\frac{1+e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}M_{ee}-M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\cos 2\theta_{23},\\
M_{\tau\tau} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}+\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\sin 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}-\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+\\
&\quad +\frac{1+e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}M_{ee}+M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\cos 2\theta_{23},\\
M_{\mu\tau} &= M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\sin 2\theta_{23},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)} &= -\left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}+\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}+\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+\\
&\quad -\frac{1-e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}M_{ee}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $t_{ij}=\tan\theta_{ij}$ $(i,j=1,2,3)$. In other words, the derived flavor masses of Eq.(10) are the solutions to Eqs.(1), (6) and (7). The relation of

$$M_+ \propto \sin\theta_{13}, \tag{12}$$

holds in the limit of $\sin\theta_{13}\to 0$ as can be seen from Eq.(7).

The neutrino masses $m_{1,2,3}$ are calculated to be:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 e^{-i\phi_1} &= -\frac{t_{12}}{c_{13}}M_- - t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}M_+ + M_{ee},\\
m_2 e^{-i\phi_2} &= \frac{1}{c_{13}t_{12}}M_- - t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}M_+ + M_{ee},\\
m_3 e^{-i\phi_3} &= \frac{1}{t_{13}}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}M_+ + e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}M_{ee}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The advantage of our method lies in the fact that the neutrino masses simply depend on the flavor masses of $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$. It should be noted that somehow "natural" choice of $M_\pm$ and M_{ee} is to take

$$M_-, M_{ee} = \text{real}, \quad \arg(M_+) = -\delta_{CP}, \tag{14}$$

which induce

$$\phi_{1,2} = 0, \quad \phi_3 = 2\delta_{CP}. \tag{15}$$

As a result, the Majorana CP violation is characterized by the phase $2\delta_{CP}$.[54] If textures belong to this type, no Majorana CP violation is induced for the maximal CP violation.

3. Maximal CP Violation and Maximal Atmospheric Neutrino Mixing

Using $e^{i\delta_{CP}} = \kappa i$ ($\kappa = \pm 1$) for the maximal CP violation and $\cos 2\theta_{23} = 0$ for the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing, the main constraint Eq.(1) turns out to be the simplest one:

$$M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu} = -2\kappa i \tan\theta_{13}\left(M_{e\mu} - \sigma M_{e\tau}\right)/\sqrt{2}. \tag{16}$$

The flavor neutrino masses of $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mu\mu} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}} + i\kappa\sigma t_{13}\right) M_- - i\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta_{13}} + \frac{1}{2}t_{13}\right) M_+,\\
M_{\tau\tau} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}} - i\kappa\sigma t_{13}\right) M_- - i\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta_{13}} + \frac{1}{2}t_{13}\right) M_+,\\
\sigma M_{\mu\tau} &= -\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}} M_- - i\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta_{13}} - \frac{1}{2}t_{13}\right) M_+ - M_{ee},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $M_\pm$ for the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing are given by

$$M_+ = \frac{\sigma M_{e\mu} + M_{e\tau}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad M_- = \frac{M_{e\mu} - \sigma M_{e\tau}}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{18}$$

We define two real parameters, x and y, and one complex parameter, z, to be:

$$x = \frac{1}{\tan 2\theta_{13}} + \frac{1}{2}t_{13}, \quad y = \frac{1}{\tan 2\theta_{13}} - \frac{1}{2}t_{13}, \quad z = \frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}} + i\kappa\sigma t_{13}, \tag{19}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mu\mu} &= zM_- - i\kappa x M_+,\\
M_{\tau\tau} &= z^* M_- - i\kappa x M_+\\
\sigma M_{\mu\tau} &= -\frac{z + z^*}{2} M_- - i\kappa y M_+ - M_{ee}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It can be found that the texture of Eq.(5) is one of the specific textures, where M_+ and M_- are appropriately constrained to be M_+=imaginary and M_- =real. One may choose any types of constraints on M_{ij} in place of this constraint to find favorite textures. Some of the examples including Eq.(5) are shown as follows:

(1) For M_-=real(=R_0 being a real number),

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & \frac{R_0+\sigma M_+}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-\sigma(R_0-\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{R_0+\sigma M_+}{\sqrt{2}} & zR_0 - i\kappa x M_+ & M_{\mu\tau} \\ \frac{-\sigma(R_0-\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} & M_{\mu\tau} & z^*R_0 - i\kappa x M_+ \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

is obtained and $m_{1,2,3}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 e^{-i\phi_1} &= -\frac{t_{12}}{c_{13}}R_0 - i\kappa t_{13}M_+ + M_{ee}, \\ m_2 e^{-i\phi_2} &= \frac{1}{c_{13}t_{12}}R_0 - i\kappa t_{13}M_+ + M_{ee}, \\ m_3 e^{-i\phi_3} &= -\left(i\frac{\kappa}{t_{13}}M_+ + M_{ee}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where, if M_+=imaginary is further imposed, we reach Eq.(5) with $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M^*_{\mu\mu}$;

(2) For M_-=imaginary(=iI_0 with I_0 being a real number),

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & \frac{i(I_0-i\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i\sigma(I_0+i\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{i(I_0-i\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} & i\left(zI_0 - \kappa x M_+\right) & M_{\mu\tau} \\ -\frac{i\sigma(I_0+i\sigma M_+)}{\sqrt{2}} & M_{\mu\tau} & i\left(z^*I_0 - \kappa x M_+\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

is obtained and $m_{1,2,3}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 e^{-i\phi_1} &= -i\left(\frac{t_{12}}{c_{13}}I_0 + \kappa t_{13}M_+\right) + M_{ee}, \\ m_2 e^{-i\phi_2} &= i\left(\frac{t_{12}}{c_{13}}I_0 - \kappa t_{13}M_+\right) + M_{ee}, \\ m_3 e^{-i\phi_3} &= -\left(i\frac{\kappa}{t_{13}}M_+ + M_{ee}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where, if M_+=real is further imposed, we observe that $M_{e\tau} = \sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = -M^*_{\mu\mu}$ are satisfied in M_ν:

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & M_{e\mu} & \sigma M^*_{e\mu} \\ M_{e\mu} & M_{\mu\mu} & M_{\mu\tau} \\ \sigma M^*_{e\mu} & M_{\mu\tau} & -M^*_{\mu\mu} \end{pmatrix}; \tag{25}$$

(3) For $M_+ = 0$,

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & M_{e\mu} & -\sigma M_{e\mu} \\ M_{e\mu} & \sqrt{2}zM_{e\mu} & -\left(\frac{z+z^*}{\sqrt{2}}M_{e\mu} + M_{ee}\right) \\ -\sigma M_{e\mu} & -\left(\frac{z+z^*}{\sqrt{2}}M_{e\mu} + M_{ee}\right) & \sqrt{2}z^*M_{e\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

is obtained and $m_{1,2,3}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 e^{-i\phi_1} &= -\sqrt{2} t_{12} \frac{M_{e\mu}}{c_{13}} + M_{ee}, \\ m_2 e^{-i\phi_2} &= \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{t_{12}} \frac{M_{e\mu}}{c_{13}} + M_{ee}, \\ m_3 e^{-i\phi_3} &= -M_{ee}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where the mass hierarchy will be the degenerated one realized by $|M_{ee}| \gg |M_{e\mu}|$;

(4) For $M_- = 0$, Eq.(13) leads to $m_1 = m_2$, which is not allowed;

(5) For $\sigma M_{\mu\tau} = -M_{ee}$ giving $M_- = i\kappa \tan 2\theta_{12} \left(c_{13}^2 - 2s_{13}^2\right) M_+/2s_{13}$,

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & M_{e\mu} & M_{e\tau} \\ M_{e\mu} & -i\sqrt{2}\kappa t_{13} M_{e\tau} & -\sigma M_{ee} \\ M_{e\tau} & -\sigma M_{ee} & -i\sqrt{2}\kappa\sigma t_{13} M_{e\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

is obtained and $m_{1,2,3}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 e^{-i\phi_1} &= -i\kappa \left(t_{12} \tan 2\theta_{12} \frac{1-2t_{13}^2}{2t_{13}} + t_{13} \right) M_+ + M_{ee}, \\ m_2 e^{-i\phi_2} &= i\kappa \left(\frac{1}{t_{12}} \tan 2\theta_{12} \frac{1-2t_{13}^2}{2t_{13}} - t_{13} \right) M_+ + M_{ee}, \\ m_3 e^{-i\phi_3} &= -\left(i\kappa \frac{1}{t_{13}} M_+ + M_{ee} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where the mass hierarchy will be also the degenerated one realized by $|M_{ee}| \gg |M_+|$.

There are other textures based on other constraints on M_ν.

For the first texture with $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M_{e\mu}^*$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M_{\mu\mu}^*$, no Majorana CP violation is induced if M_{ee} is real as indicated by Eq.(22). It is noted in the Appendix A that U_{PMNS} including redundant phases can be parameterized by three real numbers $u_{1,2,3}$ and three complex numbers $w_{1,2,3}$ numbers:[28–34]

$$U_{Maximal} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\ w_1^* & w_2^* & w_3^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

To reach $U_{Maximal}$, M_{ee} is constrained to be real and no Majorana CP violation is induced. It is also noted in the Appendix A that the second texture with $M_{e\tau} = \sigma M_{e\mu}^*$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = -M_{\mu\mu}^*$ cannot be obtained from any phase transformation of the first texture with $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M_{e\mu}^*$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M_{\mu\mu}^*$ by adjusting redundant phases present in U_{PMNS}. In this texture, no Majorana CP violation is induced if M_{ee} is imaginary as indicated by Eq.(24).

4. Flavor Neutrino Masses with Arbitrary Phases

For a general form of M_ν, $M_{\mu\mu,\mu\tau,\tau\tau}$ does not satisfy Eq.(10). In fact, in terms of the redundant phases ρ, γ and τ introduced in the Appendix A yielding $\delta_{CP}=\delta+\rho+\tau$, M_ν should be replaced by Eq.(A.4), where M_{ij} $(i,j=e,\mu,\tau)$ for the PDG convention. Conversely, for a given general form of M_ν, M_ν compatible with the PDG convention should be

$$M_\nu^{PDG}=\begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\rho}M_{ee} & e^{i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\tau} \\ e^{i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{2i\gamma}M_{\mu\mu} & e^{-i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} \\ e^{i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\tau} & e^{-i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} & e^{-2i(\gamma+\tau)}M_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{31}$$

All constraints on M_ν including the key relation of Eq.(1) should be replaced by those on M_ν^{PDG}. We can readily factor out $e^{-i\tau}$ as a common factor to have $\delta+\tau/2$, $\rho+\tau/2$ and $\gamma+\tau/2$, respectively, redefined to be δ, ρ and γ resulting in $\delta_{CP}=\delta+\rho$.

The flavor neutrino masses $M_{\mu\mu,\mu\tau,\tau\tau}$ turn out to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2i\gamma}M_{\mu\mu} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}-\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\sin 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}-\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+ \\ &\quad +\frac{1+e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}e^{2i\rho}M_{ee}-M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\cos 2\theta_{23}, \\ e^{-2i\gamma}M_{\tau\tau} &= \left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}+\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\sin 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}-\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+ \\ &\quad +\frac{1+e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}e^{2i\rho}M_{ee}+M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\cos 2\theta_{23}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

with the same equation of $M_{\mu\tau}=M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)}\sin 2\theta_{23}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} M_+ &= s_{23}e^{i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu}+c_{23}e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\tau}, \quad M_- = c_{23}e^{i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu}-s_{23}e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\tau}, \\ M_{\mu\tau}^{(0)} &= -\left(\frac{1}{c_{13}\tan 2\theta_{12}}+\frac{t_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{23}}\right)M_- + \left(\frac{e^{-i\delta_{CP}}}{\tan 2\theta_{13}}+\frac{1}{2}t_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}}\right)M_+ \\ &\quad -\frac{1-e^{-2i\delta_{CP}}}{2}e^{2i\rho}M_{ee}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Practically speaking, δ , ρ and γ can be calculated from $\mathbf{M}_{ij}$ $(i,j=e,\mu,\tau)$ for $\mathbf{M}=M_\nu^\dagger M_\nu$. The phases ρ and δ are given by

$$\rho=\arg(X), \quad \delta=-\arg(Y), \tag{34}$$

for

$$X=e^{i\gamma}c_{23}\mathbf{M}_{e\mu}-e^{-i\gamma}s_{23}\mathbf{M}_{e\tau}, \quad Y=e^{i\gamma}s_{23}\mathbf{M}_{e\mu}+e^{-i\gamma}c_{23}\mathbf{M}_{e\tau}, \tag{35}$$

where γ can be derived from

$$\cos 2\gamma \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mu\tau}\right)-\sin 2\gamma \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mu\tau}\right)=t_{13}\sin\delta_{CP}\left|X\right|, \tag{36}$$

with $|X|=\Delta m_{21}^2\sin 2\theta_{12}/2$ $(\Delta m_{21}^2=m_2^2-m_1^2)$. More details can be found in Refs.55–58.

It is observed that the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing and maximal CP violation are realized by the same constraint on $M_{\tau\tau}$: $M_{\tau\tau} = M^*_{\mu\mu}$ and by the modified one on $M_{e\tau}$:

$$M_{e\tau} = -\sigma e^{-2i\rho} M^*_{e\mu}. \tag{37}$$

The additional constraint that M_{ee}=real is replaced by $e^{2i\rho}M_{ee}$=real.

5. Summary

We have demonstrated the usefulness of flavor neutrino masses expressed in terms of $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$. Appropriate constraints on $M_{ee,e\mu,e\tau}$ reveal the necessary mutual dependence among $M_{\mu\mu,\tau\tau,\mu\tau}$ to have the maximal CP violation. For example, we have stressed the significant role of the relation: $(M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu})\sin 2\theta_{23}/2 - M_{\mu\tau}\cos 2\theta_{23} = \tan\theta_{13}\,(M_{e\mu}\cos\theta_{23} - M_{e\tau}\sin\theta_{23})\,e^{-i\delta_{CP}}$. If we impose a constraint on $M_{e\mu,e\tau}$ such as the constraint of $M_{e\mu} - \sigma M_{e\tau}$=real for the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing signaled by $\cos 2\theta_{23} = 0$, we observe that the maximal CP violation signaled by $\cos\delta_{CP} = 0$ is induced by the constraint of $M_{\tau\tau} - M_{\mu\mu}$=imaginary. The simplest solution to satisfy both constraints consists of $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M^*_{\mu\mu}$, which provide the known texture.[28–37] If M_ν is not associated with the PDG convention, $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ should be replaced by $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma e^{-2i\rho} M^*_{e\mu}$, where ρ is the redundant Dirac phase associated with the 1-2 rotation. Other constraints on M_{ij} $(i, j = e, \mu, \tau)$ lead to new textures and we have shown three such examples. It can also be discussed how M_ν of Eq.(5) is modified by the inclusion of the effect from $\cos 2\theta_{23} \neq 0$ allowing an arbitrary atmospheric mixing angle. For example, at a first glance, we may choose the constraint of $M_{e\mu}\cos\theta_{23} - M_{\mu\tau}\sin\theta_{23}$=real leading Eq.(5) for the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing. This subject will be discussed elsewhere.

Appendix A. U_{PMNS} for the maximal CP violation and the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing

The general form of U_{PMNS} contains seven phases: three phases of the Dirac type to be denoted by δ, ρ and τ, three phases of the Majorana type to be denoted by $\varphi_{1,2,3}$ and the remaining phase to be denoted by γ.[55,56] For $U_{PMNS} = U_\nu K$, we parameterize U_ν and K as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\nu &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23}e^{i\tau} \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23}e^{-i\tau} & \cos\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13} & 0 & \sin\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12}e^{i\rho} & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12}e^{-i\rho} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
K &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_2/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\varphi_3/2} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \text{(A.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

This unitary matrix can be casted into

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i(\gamma+\tau)} \end{pmatrix} U_{PDG}, \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

where

$$\delta_{CP} = \delta + \rho + \tau, \quad \phi_1 = \varphi_1 - 2\rho, \quad \phi_2 = \varphi_2, \quad \phi_3 = \varphi_3 + 2\tau, \qquad \text{(A.3)}$$

in U_{PDG}. Accordingly, from M_ν for U_{PDG} consisting of M_{ij} (i, j=e, μ, τ), we reach M_ν for U_{PMNS} of Eq.(A.1):

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\rho}M_{ee} & e^{-i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{-i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\tau} \\ e^{-i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{-2i\gamma}M_{\mu\mu} & e^{i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} \\ e^{-i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\tau} & e^{i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} & e^{2i(\gamma+\tau)}M_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \text{(A.4)}$$

For the maximal CP violation and the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing, Eq.(5) provides

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\rho}M_{ee} & e^{-i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & -\sigma e^{-i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\mu}^* \\ e^{-i(\rho+\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{-2i\gamma}M_{\mu\mu} & e^{i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} \\ -\sigma e^{-i(\rho-\gamma-\tau)}M_{e\mu}^* & e^{i\tau}M_{\mu\tau} & e^{2i(\gamma+\tau)}M_{\mu\mu}^* \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \text{(A.5)}$$

Since ρ and τ are redundant, we choose ρ and τ to satisfy $e^{i(2\rho+\tau)} = \eta$ ($\eta = \pm 1$) yielding[43–46]

$$M_\nu' = e^{-2i\rho} \begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & -\eta\sigma\left(e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu}\right)^* \\ e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{2i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{\mu\mu} & \eta M_{\mu\tau} \\ -\eta\sigma\left(e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu}\right)^* & \eta M_{\mu\tau} & \left(e^{2i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{\mu\mu}\right)^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{(A.6)}$$

The corresponding U_{PMNS} is simply given by

$$U_{PMNS} = e^{i\rho} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 e^{i\phi_1/2} & u_2 e^{i\phi_2/2} & -i\kappa u_3 e^{i\phi_3/2} \\ w_1 e^{i\phi_1/2} & w_2 e^{i\phi_2/2} & -i\kappa\sigma w_3 e^{i\phi_3/2} \\ -\eta\sigma w_1^* e^{i\phi_1/2} & -\eta\sigma w_2^* e^{i\phi_2/2} & i\kappa\eta w_3^* e^{i\phi_3/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{(A.7)}$$

where $u_{1,2,3}$ and $w_{1,2,3}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &u_1 = c_{12}c_{13}, \quad u_2 = s_{12}c_{13}, \quad u_3 = s_{13}, \\ &w_1 = -e^{i(\gamma-\rho)}\frac{s_{12}+i\kappa\sigma c_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad w_2 = e^{i(\gamma-\rho)}\frac{c_{12}-i\kappa\sigma s_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ &w_3 = e^{i(\gamma-\rho)}\frac{i\kappa c_{13}}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

The Majorana phases $\phi_{1,2,3}$ are so determined to satisfy Eq.(13). The global phase ρ in Eqs.(A.6) and (A.7) are cancelled each other in $U^T_{PMNS}M'_\nu U_{PMNS}$.

To reach $U_{Maximal}$ in Eq.(30), it is further required that Eq.(15) be satisfied; namely, $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ and $\phi_3 = 2\delta_{CP}(=\pm\pi)$. This choice subsequently requires that M_{ee} =real (as indicated by Eq.(13)), which is nothing but the additional constraint imposed in Refs.28–34. The resulting U_{PMNS} of Eq.(A.2) turns out to be:

$$U_{PMNS} = e^{i\rho}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & \sigma w_3 \\ -\eta\sigma w_1^* & -\eta\sigma w_2^* & -\eta w_3^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.9}$$

The obtained U_{PMNS} is literally coincident with $U_{Maximal}$ of Eq.(30) for $\sigma = 1$ and $\eta = -1$. It is thus proved that the texture for $U_{Maximal}$ can be derived from the texture for U_{PDG}. No Majorana CP violation is induced because of $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ and $\phi_3 = \pm\pi$.[45]

One may wonder if the texture of Eq.(23) with $M_{e\tau} = \sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = -M^*_{\mu\mu}$ is obtained from Eq.(A.5) for the texture with $M_{e\tau} = -\sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = M^*_{\mu\mu}$ by adjusting the redundant phases. Requiring that $M'_{\tau\tau} = -M'^*_{\mu\mu}$ realized by $e^{i(2\rho+\tau)} = i\eta$, we reach

$$M'_\nu = e^{-2i\rho}\begin{pmatrix} M_{ee} & e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & -i\eta\sigma\left(e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu}\right)^* \\ e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu} & e^{2i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{\mu\mu} & i\eta M_{\mu\tau} \\ -i\eta\sigma\left(e^{i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{e\mu}\right)^* & i\eta M_{\mu\tau} & -\left(e^{2i(\rho-\gamma)}M_{\mu\mu}\right)^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.10}$$

indicating $M'_{e\tau} = -i\eta\sigma M'^*_{e\tau}$, which cannot be equivalent to $M_{e\tau} = \sigma M^*_{e\mu}$. The texture with $M_{e\tau} = \sigma M^*_{e\mu}$ and $M_{\tau\tau} = -M^*_{\mu\mu}$ is not connected to Eq.(A.5).

References

1. Y. Fukuda, et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
2. Y. Fukuda, et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2430 (1999).
3. T. Kajita, Nucl. Phys. B. Proc. Suppl. **77**, 123 (1999).
4. T. Kajita and Y. Totsuka, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 85 (2001).
5. J.N. Bahcall, W.A. Fowler, I. Iben and R.L. Sears, Astrophys. J. **137**, 344 (1963).
6. J.N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 300 (1964).
7. R. Davis Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 303 (1964).
8. R. Davis Jr., D.S. Harmer and K.C. Hoffman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1205 (1968).
9. J.N. Bahcall, N.A. Bahcall and G. Shaviv, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1209 (1968).
10. J.N. Bahcall and R. Davis Jr., Science **191**, 264 (1976).
11. Y. Fukuda, et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1158 (1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4279 (1998), Erratum.

12. B.T. Clevel, et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Astrophys. J. **496**, 505 (1998).
13. W. Hampel, et al. (GNO Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **447**, 127 (1999).
14. Q.A. Ahmed, et al. (SNO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001).
15. Q.A. Ahmed, et al. (SNO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301 (2002).
16. K. Eguchi, et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802 (2003).
17. S. Abe, et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 221803 (2008).
18. F.P. An, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012).
19. K. Abe, et. al. (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 041801 (2011).
20. P. Adamson, et. al. (MINOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 021801 (2011).
21. K. Abe et al. (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 041801 (2011).
22. P. Adamson et al. (MINOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 181802 (2011).
23. F. P. An et al. (Daya Bay Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012).
24. S.-B. Kim et al. (RENO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 191802 (2012).
25. Y. Abe et al. (Double Chooz Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **723**, 66 (2013).
26. D.V. Forero, M.Tortola and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 093006 (2014).
27. M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1411**, 052 (2014).
28. E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 2361 (2002).
29. E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 117301 (2002).
30. P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **547**, 219 (2002)
31. P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **594**, 324 (2004).
32. K.S. Babu, E. Ma and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **552**, 207 (2003).
33. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. B **579**, 113 (2004).
34. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0508**, 013 (2005).
35. T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, Phys. Lett. B **621**, 113 (2005).
36. I. Aizawa, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 055014 (2005).
37. I. Aizawa, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, Nucl. Phys. B **728**, 220 (2005).
38. S.-F. Ge, D.A. Dicus and W.W. Repko, Phys. Lett. B **702**, 220 (2011).
39. S.-F. Ge, D.A. Dicus and W.W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 041801 (2012).
40. S. Gupta, A.S. Joshipura and K.M. Patel, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 031903(R) (2012).
41. A.D. Hanlon, S.-F. Ge, W.W. Repko, Phys. Lett. B **729**, 185 (2014).
42. A,S. Joshipura and K.M. Patel, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 159 (2015).
43. X.-G. He, "A Model of Neutrino Mass Matrix With $\delta = -\pi/2$ and $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$", arXiv:1504.01560 [hep-ph].
44. G.-N. Li anf X.-G. He, "CP violation in neutrino mixing with $\delta = -\pi/2$ in A4 Type-II seesaw model", arXiv:1505.01932 [hep-ph].
45. R.N. Mohapatra and C.C. Nishi, "Implications of μ-τ Flavored CP Symmetry of Leptons", arXiv:1506.06788 [hep-ph].
46. H.-J. He and W. Rodejohann, X.-J. Xu, "Origin of Constrained Maximal CP Violation in Flavor Symmetry", arXiv:1507.03541 [hep-ph].
47. B. Pontecorvo, JETP (USSR) **7**, 172 (1958) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Piz. **34**, 247 (1958)].
48. Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 870 (1962).
49. J. Beringer, et.al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
50. L.-L. Chau and W.-Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1802 (1984).
51. S.M. Bilenky, J. Hosek and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. **94B**, 495 (1980).
52. J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2227 (1980).
53. M. Doi, T. Kotani, H. Nishiura, K. Okuda and E. Takasugi, Phys. Lett. **102B**, 323 (1981).
54. I. Aizawa and M. Yasuè, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 015002 (2006).
55. T. Baba and M. Yasuè, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 055001 (2007).
56. T. Baba and M. Yasuè, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 075008 (2008).

57. T. Baba and M. Yasuè, Prog. Theor. Phys. **123**, 659 (2010).
58. Z.-z. Xing, Y.-L. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 584 (2010).